

Service Management: the Next Step after the Basics of Performance & Tuning

Norman Hollander Consulting IT Specialist System z and zPerformance IBM Tivoli for System z

zNorman@us.IBM.com

SHARE 116, Winter 2011 Anaheim, CA March 1, 2011







Trademarks & Product Names

- Observations and Recommendations are solely the opinion of the author.



Abstract



 Today's System z and zEnterprise Server mainframes running z/OS are the most tunable business computing platform ever created. Building on more than four half decades of hardware and software innovation, not only is it the least expensive environment to run your IT workload, but as it keeps getting more functional and affordable. You may already know the benefits of Performance and Tuning; and with this sessions, you can learn how the follow-on piece of Service Management can play in an even more efficient business environment. This session reviews some of the basics of Performance and Tuning, and offers insights into Service Management concepts, allowing you to provide more value to your business.



Overview



- Mainframe environments continue to grow and become more complex at a rapid pace
- System z Hardware and associated peripherals are challenging for most customers
- Keeping Up with z/OS (z/VM, Linux for System z) technology is time consuming
- The number of IT professionals maintaining these platforms has generally not grown
- Many customers don't realize all the moving parts of their mission critical applications
- Many customers don't really know what is causing service levels to miss their goals





Agenda

- Review of the Elements of Performance
- Blast from the Past: CFIA
- Who Uses Service and Monitoring Information?
- Monitoring Views
- Q&A



The Elements of Performance



- There are only 3 Elements of Performance
 - Processor
 - General Purpose Engine
 - Coupling Facility Engine
 - zAAP Engine
 - zIIP Engine
 - Crypto Engine
 - Appliances
 - Memory (Paging)
 - Real Storage
 - 64-bit Architecture
 - Input/Output
 - Peripheral Devices
 - Coupling Facilities
 - Communications Devices
 - Security Devices





Perceived Performance



- There are many performance metrics available to indicate how well the 3 elements of performance are doing
 - CPU busy
 - CPU time
 - Paging
 - I/O rate
- Service Level Agreements typically use something like these as a measure for success
 - Number of transaction per second
 - xx% of specific application business operation completed in yy seconds
 - All jobs updating application's xx database must complete by 3am



Performance Metrics



- All metrics are interesting
- Some are good; some are not so relevant
- Performance metrics should relate to in-place Service Level Agreements
- Throughput might be key
 - In a widget factory, the number of widgets manufactured per hour is critical



What We Are Really Trying to Determine



- Delays and Degradation
 - What's holding up the Application
 - What's holding up the Operating System
 - What's holding up the Network (/Cloud)
 - What's holding up the End-User





What's our course of action?

- Performance comes in 2 flavors:
 - External, environmental opportunities
 - There are many knobs to turn at the operating system level
 - There are many opportunities with the infrastructure components
 - Internal application opportunities
 - There many be coding opportunities
 - There may be I/O avoidance opportunities
 - There are specific solutions to help identify application inefficiencies





Why bother with any of this?

- Tuning efforts help optimize the balance of our 3 elements
- Use Memory to improve I/O
- Use Memory to improve
 Processor utilization
- Avoid I/O to optimize Processor utilization
 - There are no "roll-over" CPU minutes
- Use Processor to optimize I/O





CFIA

12



- Component Failure Impact Analysis
- Decades ago, used to identify all the moving parts in the Data Center as they related to the Business Processes
- Ultimately showed Vulnerabilities or lack of Availability
- Worked for Small Environments





Technology · Connections · Results

CFIA Example

	CICS PROD	CICS DEV	IMS PROD	IMS DEV	Fin Batch	Retail Batch	Dev Batch	DASD Mngmnt	TSO
CPU	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Chan0	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Chan1	D		D		D 12.1.4	D 12.1.5		D	
Chan2	D		D		D	D		D	
Chan3		D		D			D	D	D
Chan4		D		D			D	D	D
Memory	D	Х	D	Х	D	D	Х	Х	D
CTLR1	D		D		D	D			D
CTLR2		D		D			D	D	D
NCP1	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Tape58x	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D



SHARE Technology · Connections · Results

CFIA Challenges

- Works in small non-complex configurations
 - Todays Data Centers do not look like example
 - More CPs, More Channels, More Controllers
 - Built-in Redundancy and Failover
- Does not consider multi-platform applications
- Business Processes may use more moving parts
- Business View is more relatable to those using the data and for other automated processes





Better View of Application Performance

- Mission Critical Applications use more Services in the environments
- Business Views showing those Services used is more Relatable
- Business Views provide more unified and concise data for the levels of viewers needing it









> A simple Business Application









> A simple Business Application grows







> A simple Business Application grows and grows





SHARE Technology · Connections · Results



> A simple Business Application grows and grows, gets more complex





SHARE Technology · Connections · Results



A simple Business Application grows and grows, gets more and more complex





SHARE Technology · Connections · Results



A simple Business Application grows and grows, gets more and more complex, and requires more End-to-End Monitoring points





SHARE Technology · Connections · Results



A simple Business Application grows and grows, gets more and more complex, and requires more End-to-End Monitoring points, and Infrastructure Service Management





Relevant Views of Performance

- 4 Levels
 - System Programmer,
 Performance Consultant View
 - Operations Monitoring View
 - Helpdesk Monitoring View
 - Business Unit View

\wedge	nted	
	nent Orie	
	llanagerr ecific	
ic Detail ecific	iervice N ation Sp	
iagnosti nent Sp	More S Applic:	
More D Compo		



System Programmer, Performance Consultant View



- Sysplex, Specific Key Indicators of Performance
- Both Vertical and Horizontal Scopes
- Which Rules of Thumb/Industry Standards are being exceeded?
- Most amount of Detail
- Toolkits
- Alerts generated for Continuous Exceptions
- Data collected for Performance Management Reporting





Operations Monitoring View

- Data Center View of the Sysplex, Logical Partitions, Operating System Environments, Subsystems, and Application Environments
- Useful as Heartbeat or Speedometer
- Vertical Scope within a Hardware Footprint
- Horizontal Scope within an Application
- Which Threshold Levels are not being met?
- Which Components' Exceptions will impact SLAs?
- Medial amount of Detail
- Alerts generated for Continuous Exceptions
- Data collected for Trending Reports





Helpdesk Monitoring View

- Data Center View of the Critical Applications
- Useful as Heartbeat or Speedometer
- Horizontal Scope
- Which Threshold Levels are not Being Met?
- Which Components' Exceptions will impact SLAs?
- Predict Near Future
- Medial Amount of Detail
- Alerts Generated for Continuous Exceptions
- Data Collected for SLA Reporting



Business Unit View



- Service Level Commitments
- Horizontal Scope Across Critical Application Environments
- Which Service Levels are not Being Met?
- Predict Near Future
- Least Amount of Detail
- Alerts Generated for Continuous Exceptions
- Data Collected for SLA Trends Report



System Programmer, Performance Consultant Monitoring



Technology • Connections • Results



> Most Amount of Detail, Component Failure Impact with related Alert



Operations Monitoring





Increased Amount of Detail, Service Level Commitments Status with related Component Status and Alert





Helpdesk Monitoring

Application 1 Retail	Application severely degraded Transaction response time reduced DB2 component ABENDED, Ticket R0017823 opened Estimated time for correction: 15 minutes
Application 2 Loan	Application degraded Transaction response time normal Scheduled CICS Region recycling, Ticket L0022776 statused Estimated time for completion: 25 minutes (out of 30)
Application 3 Finan	Application not impacted Waiting on Feed from Loan System Ticket F030432 opened Estimated time for completion: not determined

Less Amount of Detail, Service Level Commitments Status with related Component Status





Business Unit View



> Least Amount of Detail, Service Level Commitments Status



Operational Requirements



- Monitor Availability
- Monitor Service Levels on a Daily Basis
- Monitor Components for Potential Impacts to SLAs
- Anticipate Near-term Future
- Advise Interested Parties of Application Conditions
- Take Appropriate Corrective Action
- Create Helpdesk Issues
- Log Actions



Conclusion



- IT Environments have become very large and complex
- There is increased interaction with Mainframe Applications and Distributed Platforms
- The amount of Monitoring Data from all of the Application Components is too large to manage without Service Management
- Integrating Service Management into Performance Monitoring and Reporting is key to the ability for IT to mange our Business
- Increase Automation and Autonomic Processes are crucial to the success of our Business
- After Basic Performance and Tuning, Service Management must be incorporated into our current Performance Practices





Q&A





Thank You!

Service Management: the Next Step after the Basics of Performance & Tuning

Norman Hollander *Consulting IT Specialist System z and zPerformance* IBM Tivoli for System z

zNorman@us.IBM.com

SHARE 116, Winter 2011 Anaheim, CA March 1, 2011





